





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,191	10/29/2001	Thomas Kelmartin	MI/207	4141
7:	590 04/23/2003			
Allan M. Wheatcraft, Esquire			EXAMINER	
W.L. Gore & Associates, Inc. 551 Paper Mill Road			RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA	
Newark, DE 19714-9206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,191 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-21, drawn to an article, classified in class 442, subclass 59.
  - II. Claim 22, drawn to a method of making said article, classified in class 156, subclass60.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product can be made by another process, i.e. by coextruding the fabric, adhesive, and film layers.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Allan Wheatcraft on March 27, 2003, a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-21. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 22 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.



Art Unit: 1771

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Specification

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: pages 2 and 3 mention the term "THV." However, it is not until page 4, line 1 that Applicant discloses what exactly "THV" is. It is suggest that Applicant define THV the first time it is mentioned on page 2.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Objections

7. Claims 1, 2, 10, 19, 21 are objected to because of the following informalities: the terms "PTFE" and "THV" are used as acronyms. It is suggested that Applicant spell out these acronyms for clarification purposes. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffin (US 6,517,919) in view of Sahatjian et al. (US 4,943,473) or Effenberger et al. (US 5,357,726). Griffin

Application/Control Number: 10/003,191

Art Unit: 1771

discloses a laminated product that includes a first layer of porous expanded polytetrafluoroethylene membrane and a second layer of woven fabric of polytetrafluoroethylene containing yarn (abstract). The yarn can also be an expanded PTFE yarn (col 4, ln 40-42). The first and second layer can be bonded by using an adhesive (col 2, In 52-54) such as a fluorinated polymer adhesive (col 5, In 3-6). The use of PTFE in the woven fabric provides good heat resistance and chemical resistance (col 5, In 47-48). It should be noted that although Griffin fails to specifically disclose the interconnected passages and pathways claimed in the present invention, it is the Examiner's position that a laminate having the structure required by Griffin (i.e. porous and expanded film) would have various passages and pathways and therefore, the adhesive would be contained to a degree within those passages and pathways. Furthermore, because Griffin is using the same fibers, film and adhesive as required in the present invention, the laminated product of Griffin would inherently be waterproof. With regard to claims 6, 15, and 21, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchison, 69 USPQ 138. Griffin fails to disclose a second composite attached to the second surface of the fabric, that the article is an architectural fabric, and that the fluoropolymer adhesive is THV. Griffin also fails to teach that the article passes a Newark Flex test after 10,000 or 20,000 or 50,000 cycles, and that the article does not delaminate after 24 hours in a wet flex test.

Sahatjian et al. (US 4,943,473) disclose flexible laminated fluoropolymer containing composites that are fire and chemical resistant and contain textile substrates and fluoropolymer containing films. Adhesives may be used in making the composite (abstract). The material can be

Application/Control Number: 10/003,191

**Art Unit: 1771** 

used in tents or other shelters (col 2, ln 30-32). The fluoropolymer used is polytetrafluoroethylene (col 2, ln 56-58) and the substrates include PTFE fibrous material (col 4, ln 59-68 to col 5, ln 1-7). The adhesive used can be a Viton adhesive (col 5, ln 26-29). It should be noted that Viton adhesive comprises vinylidene fluoride, hexafluoropropylene, and tetrafluoroethylene as required by the present invention (Johnson et al. US 5,525,887).

Effenberger et al. disclose composite materials for structural end uses such as for forming a dome or roof. This flexible reinforced textile composite material has a hydrophobic protective film element attached to the fabric (abstract). The textile material comprises PTFE fibers (col 3, ln 52-66). The hydrophobic protective film comprises PTFE (col 3, ln 22-24). Additional film elements comprising the thermoplastic terpolymer of TFE, HFP, and VF<sub>2</sub> (i.e. THV) may be employed (col 4, ln 24-27). It should be noted that the Examiner is equating the additional film elements of the THV terpolymer in the PTFE film of Effenberger et al. to be the adhesive of the present invention. Furthermore, because Effenberger is using the same fibers, film, and adhesive, it is the Examiner's position that the composite material of Effenberger et al. is inherently waterproof and fire retardant.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have used the THV adhesive of Effenberger et al. or Sahatjian et al. as the fluorinated polymer adhesive of Griffin, motivated by the desire to create a laminate with chemical and thermal resistance.

It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have placed a second composite on the second surface of the fabric of Griffin and Effenberger et al. or Sahatjian et al., since it has been held the mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8. In the

Application/Control Number: 10/003,191 Page 6

Art Unit: 1771

present invention, one would have been placed a second composite on the second surface of the fabric motivated by the desire to create a laminate having increased durability and chemical and thermal resistance.

It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have used the laminated material of Griffin in an architectural fabric as disclosed by and Effenberger et al. or Sahatjian et al., motivated by the desire to create an architectural fabric that is durable and resistant to heat and chemicals.

Although Griffin and Effenberger et al. or Sahatjian et al. do not explicitly teach the claimed Newark Flex test and wet flex test properties, it is reasonable to presume that these properties are inherent to the laminated article of Griffin and Effenberger et al. or Sahatjian et al. Support for said presumption is found in the use of like materials (i.e. PTFE fibers, porous PTFE film, THV adhesive). The burden is upon Applicant to prove otherwise. *In re Fitzgerald*, 205 USPQ 594. In addition, the presently claimed property of the article passing a Newark Flex test after 10,000 or 20,000 or 50,000 cycles and that the article not delaminating after 24 hours in a wet flex test would obviously have been present once the Griffin and Effenberger et al. or Sahatjian et al. product is provided. Note *In re Best*, 195 USPQ at 433, footnote 4 (CCPA 1977).

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C Ruddock whose telephone number is 703-305-0066. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Wa. Ruddock

UCR WUL

April 19, 2003